



ArbTS - Arboricultural Technician Services Ltd

(Tree Consultancy Services)

Stephen Lucocq BSc (Hons), Tech Cert (ArborA), M.Arbor.A Professional Member of the Arboricultural Association

Web site: www.ArbTS.co.uk
Email: info@ArbTS.co.uk
Mobile: 07789 551 591

Arboricultural Report

Including:

Tree Survey Data &

Tree Constraints Plan,

Arboricultural Impact Assessment,

Tree Protection Plan and Arboricultural Method Statement

To the British Standard 5837:2012 (Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction. Recommendations)

Date – 4th November 2024

Site - Crymlyn Parc, Skewen

Project Reference – ArbTS 1945.3 Crymlyn Parc

Table of Contents

1.0	Intro	ductio	n	3
2.0	The T	ree Su	ırvey	3
3.0	The T	rees		4
4.0	Tree	Constr	raints Plan Information	4
5.0	Arboi	ricultu	ral Impact Assessment	5
5.0	Arboi	ricultu	ral Method Statement	6
7.0	Concl	usion		7
3.0	Quali	ficatio	ns & Further Information	8
9.0	Biblic	graph	y & Web Information	9
10.0	Appe	ndix		
		1A	Tree Survey Data + 1B - Detailed Tree Survey Data Summary	
		2	Tree Constraints Plan	
		3	Tree Survey Key	
		4	Tree Protection Plan	
		5	Tree Photographs	

Copyright © 2024

ArbTS - Arboricultural Technician Services Ltd, 5 Weavers Road, Ystradgynlais, Powys, SA9 1PQ. All rights reserved.

No part of this report may be copied or reproduced without prior written permission from ArbTS.

If you have received this report in error, please destroy all copies in your possession or control.

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of the commissioning party, and unless otherwise agreed in writing by ArbTS, no other party may use, make use of or rely on the contents of this report.

ArbTS accept no liability for any use of this report other than for the purposes for which it was initially prepared and provided.

Opinions and information provided in the report are based on ArbTS using due skill, care and diligence in preparing the same. No warranty is provided as to their accuracy.

Surveys are undertaken to ensure that nothing in the final report will be omitted, amended or misrepresented by the client or any other interested party.

This report and its contents remain the property of ArbTS until payment has been made in full.

It should be noted, and it is expressly stated, that no independent verification of any of the documents or information supplied to ArbTS has been made.

1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to assess the quality of the trees at Crymlyn Parc,
 Skewen, assess the arboricultural impact of the proposed development design and
 provide details regarding the protection of retained trees during construction work.
- 1.2 This report identifies the quality of the trees on this site as categorised by the *British Standard 5837:2012, Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction Recommendations*. The survey and findings, as reported here, represent an unbiased third-party opinion offering professional advice on the value of the trees on or adjacent to this site. To illustrate the constraints identified trees pose to the design of future development, a Tree Constraints Plan (TCP) has been drawn, as found in Appendix 2.
- 1.3 Arboricultural constraints within the surveyed site relate primarily to the preservation of trees recommended for retention. Identified trees must be protected during the construction phase by employing a combination of tree protection methods as illustrated in Appendix 4, Tree Protection Plan and detailed within Section 6 Arboricultural Method Statement.
- 1.4 The trees' root system and the associated soil structure is often overlooked during the construction process and can be damaged or altered by compaction, causing significant damage to the health of the tree. Generally, the tree's entire root system is within the top 600mm of soil, where it can be easily damaged. A calculated ground area around the tree should be protected during the onsite construction phase. In this report, it is referred to as the Root Protection Area (RPA).

2.0 The Tree Survey

- 2.1 The tree survey was conducted by *Stephen Lucocq BSc (Hons), Tech Cert (ArborA), M.Arbor.A* on 15th July and 27th August 2024.
- 2.2 Trees over 75mm were tagged where appropriate with numbered metal identification tags at around 2.0 metres above ground level.
- 2.3 All observations were made from the ground with an acoustic-sounding hammer. No invasive decay detective instruments were used.
- 2.4 The survey was carried out per *British Standard 5837:2012, Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction Recommendations.* This standard gives a systematic, consistent, transparent evaluation method for tree surveying.
- 2.5 The tree survey was conducted with the aid of a topographical survey.
- 2.6 **Preliminary management recommendations:** The survey has identified preliminary management recommendations for the trees on or adjacent to this site. Details regarding these specified operations are given in this report (See Appendix 1 Tree Survey Data). Where work priority is stated to be H High due to safety reasons, these operations should be carried out as soon as possible. Where work priority is

- said to be M/H medium/high or higher, these operations should be undertaken before the commencement of any works on site.
- 2.7 Limitations of the tree survey: Whilst every effort is made to ensure an accurate assessment of the tree's condition during the survey, no responsibility can be taken for resultant damage or injury that occurred by a failing tree. The survey only gives a snapshot of what is visible and is not obscured on the day of the survey. The survey identifies trees of varying quality and their above-ground/below-ground constraints. This survey does not constitute a full tree condition survey/tree risk assessment of the site, and this report is only valid for 24 months from the date of the tree survey.

3.0 The Trees

- 3.1 The complete tree survey data can be found in Appendix 1A Tree Survey Data
- 3.2 Tree Survey Summary Table (See Appendix 3 for BS5837 category definitions). (A more detailed Tree Survey Data Summary can be found in Appendix 1B)

BS5837:2012 Quality Category	Total Number of Individual Trees Surveyed	Total Number of Tree Groups Surveyed	Total Number of Tree Areas Surveyed	Total Number of Woodland Areas Surveyed	Total Number of Hedgerows Surveyed	Total
A (High - Most desirable for retention)	4	2	0	0	0	6
B (Moderate - Desirable for retention)	6	0	0	0	0	6
C (Low - Optional for retention)	7	2	0	0	15	24
U (Poor - Unsuitable for retention)	0	0	0	0	2	2
Total A,B,C,U	17	4	0	0	17	38

4.0 Tree Constraints Plan (TCP) Information

4.1 A Tree Constraints Plan (TCP) can be found in Appendix 2 of this report. An introduction to TCP can also be found at the start of this Appendix Section. For further information and details regarding TCP, please see the *British Standard 5837:2012, Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction* – *Recommendations.*

5.0 Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA)

- 5.1 The following Arboricultural Impact Assessment has been made for the proposed development design.
- 5.2.1 <u>Tree Loss AIA LOW / MODERATE -</u> The following trees and section of hedgerows are required to be removed to facilitate the construction of the proposed development design.
- 5.2.2 Individual Tree Loss
 - o T1 Oak Moderate quality (B category) T1 is a mature boundary oak tree that is assessed to be at the lower end of the B Category classification. This is because it has been repeatedly pruned back from the above electrical lines and has some internal decay of the main trunk. T1 is to be removed to create one access point into the site as defined in the adopted LDP where two access points are required.
 - T11 Small Silver Birch Low quality (C category)
 - T6 Small Oak Low quality (C category)

5.2.3 Hedgerow Loss –

- Hedgerow H1 Length 17 metres Low quality (C category)
- Hedgerow H2 Length 3 metres Poor quality (U category)
- Hedgerow H3 Length 9 metres Low quality (C category)

5.2.4 Overall Tree Loss –

Three trees have been identified as being removed to facilitate the construction of the proposed development design. Two of these trees identified for removal are low-quality trees (C Category). These trees should not present a constraint on developing the site. The removal of the one moderate quality tree (B Category =T1) can be readily mitigated by suitable compensatory tree planting within the site.

- 5.3 Root Protection Area (RPA) AIA LOW RPA potential damage can all be managed through the installation of tree protective fencing, arboricultural watching brief for excavation works within RPA and installation of Cellweb, as designed by an Arboriculturist will ensure no significant long-term adverse impact will occur to any of the retained trees.
- 5.4 <u>Tree surgery work AIA LOW -</u> Some branch reduction/branch pruning work will be required to facilitate this proposed scheme, as detailed in the tree protection plan (Appendix 4). This work will be carried out to the *British Standard 3998:2010 tree work recommendations*. Adhering to this standard will ensure no adverse impact on these trees' long-term health or visual amenity.
- 5.5 <u>Future Tree Pressures AIA LOW -</u> Overall, the design has considered the size and value of the trees on this site to minimise any future pressures to heavily prune or fell the higher-value trees.

- 5.6.1 <u>Conclusion AIA (Including landscape mitigation) LOW -</u> The site has several Arboricultural constraints that must be considered in the development design phase. Three trees have been identified as being removed to facilitate the construction of the proposed development design. Two of these trees identified for removal are low-quality trees (C Category). These trees should not present a constraint on developing the site. The removal of the one moderate quality tree (B Category =T1) can be readily mitigated by suitable compensatory tree planting within the site.
- 5.6.2 The construction of the proposed development, whilst complying with the tree protection scheme as detailed in section 6, will ensure that no significant long-term adverse Arboricultural impact occurs on the health of any retained trees on or adjacent to this site or the long-term amenity of the area.

6.0 Arboricultural Method Statement

- 6.1 The Tree Protection Plan to facilitate the construction of the development design can be found in Appendix 4 of this report. The Tree Protection Plan must comply with all of the following:
 - Be regarded as sacrosanct and follow the sequence of events as detailed in the table below
 - Be installed before commencement of any demolishing or construction works on site
 - Must not be removed or altered without prior approval of the local planning authority
- 6.2 The following table overleaf provides a detailed sequence of events that must occur to protect the retained trees during all stages of the construction process. These methods must be communicated to the entire construction team before any work on site.

Stage	Arboricultural Method Statement (In the sequence of events)
1.) Preconstruction (Prior to any on-site construction work,	1.1 – Design areas for construction site storage by the site supervisor and the appointed Arboriculturist.
including demolition work, site material storage etc.)	1.2 – Design position, form and construction methods of all utility services with Arboricultural consideration. All underground service designs MUST conform to the NJUG Volume 4 Guidelines for the Planning, Installation and Maintenance of Utility Apparatus in Proximity to Trees. The full document is available at http://www.njug.org.uk/ and BS5837:2012. Local Planning Authority to be consulted on utility service design details and, if satisfied, to be approved in writing before installation during the construction phase.
	1.3– Tree surgery work to be carried out is detailed in the Tree Protection Plan (Appendix 4) of this report and to the <i>British Standard:3998:2010: Recommendation for tree works.</i>
	1.4 – Tree protective fencing installed in the position and form as detailed in the Tree Protection Plan (Appendix - 4). Installation is to be supervised by the appointed Arboriculturist. All weather tree construction exclusion zone posters are to be secured to fencing at regular intervals.

- **1.5– No DIG Cellweb permanent ground protection installed** as designed by the manufacturer, detailed in the Tree Protection Plan (Appendix 4). Installation is to be carried out by an experienced and qualified contractor and additionally supervised by the appointed Arboriculturist.
- **1.6 Site storage area containers** installed as designed and supervised by the site supervisor and the appointed Arboriculturist.
- **1.7– Onsite meeting** with all parties, client, Local Planning Authority tree officer, agent, developer, site supervisor and the appointed Arboriculturist to ensure all tree protection methods are in place as detailed on the Tree Protection Plan (Appendix 4). Any issues that arise from the site meeting are addressed if required.
- **1.8 Appointed Arboriculturist to document** all tree protection methods in situ and photographs taken for reference purposes. Copy of document report sent to all parties.

2.) Construction

- **2.1** The site supervisor is to be briefed by the appointed Arboriculturist regarding the Tree Protection Plan/Methods, and a laminated copy of the plan/methods is to be secured onto the wall in the site supervisor's office. Contact details of the appointed Arboriculturist, Council's Tree Officer, to be included. Emphasis is to be made to the site supervisor on the importance of the Tree Protection Plan/Methods and possible planning enforcement action (Stop Notice), problems with discharging tree protection conditions and/or legal action for noncompliance with these tree protection methods.
- **2.2 All contractors are** to be **briefed** by the site supervisor and/or the appointed Arboriculturist regarding the tree protection plan and methods before starting work on site. Emphasis made to contractors on the importance of the Tree Protection Plan/Methods and possible planning enforcement action (Stop Notice), problems with discharging tree protection conditions and/or legal action for noncompliance with these tree protection methods.
- **2.3 Excavation under Arboricultural Supervision** to be carried out in areas highlighted in the Tree Protection Plan (Appendix 4). This work is to be carried out with the use of hand tools, an air spade and possible use of a small excavator (no greater than 2500kg) with a toothless bucket under the constant supervision of the appointed Arboriculturist. The excavator will only work from existing hard surfacing before its removal. Any roots discovered less than 25mm in diameter should be pruned cleanly with a securer or pruning saw. The careful excavation working method is as follows:
- Digging started outside the Root Protection Area towards the retained tree.
- \bullet No roots found to be ripped, pulled or crushed during excavation
- Any exposed roots are to be covered with wet Hessian material
- Any roots less than 25mm in diameter to be cut with a sharp knife/ secateurs covered with wet Hessian material
- If any roots greater than 25mm in diameter are discovered, Arboriculturist to instruct further action. Innovative engineering methods will be sort to retain these roots.
- **2.4 Additional Tree Protection,** as designed by the appointed Arboriculturist, to be Installed to protect the newly excavated ground.
- **2.5** The construction phase begins with regular site inspection visits from the appointed Arboriculturist (Frequency of visits to be agreed with the LPA) to ensure all tree protection methods are being adhered to. Arboriculturist to document findings from the site visits, including any issues identified, how to resolve and photographic evidence. Document report to be sent to all parties within 1 week after the site visit.
- 2.6- Tree Safe Construction (Throughout site) areas outside of the construction exclusion zones, as shown on the tree protection plan, must adhere to the following:
 Building materials and fuels such as oil, bitumen or cement should not be stacked or discharged within 20 metres of the tree's stem.
- Fires will not be lit beneath any tree or in a place where flames could extend to within 10 metres of the tree.

	Trees to be retained and protected should not be used as anchorage for services or equipment. The use of cranes and large machinery on site should be planned and care taken not to damage the trees during the process.
	2.7 – Unforeseen issues which require the alteration of the Tree Protection Plan/Methods, required tree surgery work or immediate remedial work will be submitted to the Local Planning Authority for approval in writing.
3.) Post Construction (Once	3.1 – Tree Protection fencing Removed.
all construction work has been completed, this	3.2 – Hard and soft landscaping commence - All landscape team members are to be briefed regarding tree protections by an Arboriculturist.
includes all utility services)	3.3 – Any required remedial tree action is taken, such as Leaf Mulch Application, soil de-compaction methods, contamination clean up etc., to be carried out.

7.0 Conclusion

7.1 Adhering to the tree protection details in this report, the proposed development can be constructed without any significant long-term adverse impact on the retained trees or the area's amenity.

8.0 Further Information & Qualifications

Stephen Lucocq has been involved in Arboriculture within South Wales for over twenty years. He has worked as an Arborist for many of these years and has an excellent working knowledge of the practical side of the profession. He has always taken an active interest in all areas of Arboriculture and kept up to date with current research and developments.

Qualifications

- First Class BSc (Hons) Degree Combined Studies Biology and IT
- Arboricultural Association Technicians Certificate Level 4 (Merit)
- PTI Professional Tree Inspection (Lantra Awards)
- 2D Computer-Aided Design (City and Guilds Level 3)
- Quantified Tree Risk Assessment (QTRA) Mike Ellison
- Visual Tree Assessment (VTA) Mike Ellison
- Arboriculture and Bats (Lantra)
- Industrial Rope Access Trade Association (IRATA)
- Practical Arboriculture Qualifications (NPTC)

Membership

Arboricultural Association Professional Member (M.Arbor.A)

9.0 Web Information & Bibliography

Web Information

Arboricultural Association

http://www.trees.org.uk/

Cellular Confinement System

GeoWeb - GreenFix

CellWeb - Geosynthetics Cellweb

Underground Utilises Installation

http://www.njug.org.uk/

Bibliography

- British Standards 3998 (2010) Recommendations for Tree Work UK; British Standards Intuition
- British Standard 5837:2012, Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - Recommendations UK; British Standards Intuition
- Coombes, A.J (1992) Trees London; Dorling Kindersley
- Lonsdale, D (1999) Principle of Tree Hazard Assessment and Management Edinburgh; Forestry Commission
- Mattheck, C (2007) Field Guide for Visual Tree Assessment Germany;
 Karlsruhe Research Centre
- Shigo, A.L (1991) Modern Arboriculture USA; Shigo and Trees, Association
- Sterry, P (2007) Collins Complete British Trees London; Collins
- Strouts, R.G (2000) Diagnosis of ill-health in trees Edinburgh; Forestry Commission
- Weber, K & Mattheck, C (2003) Manual of wood decay UK; Arboricultural Association

10.0 Appendix 1A -Tree Survey Data

Tree ID #	Tree Species	Age	Stems	Stem Diam (mm)	Cat	Height + (Lower Branch Height)	Nrth	Est	Sth	Wst	Phys Cond	Struc Cond	Est. Remain Contrib	Comments	Preliminary Management Recommendations	Work Priority	RPR (m)	RPA (m2)
G1	Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore)	М	1	650	A2	14(4)	7	7	7	7	G/F	N/A	40+	low A category. Surrounding wegetation prevented close inspection of the tree therefore all observations and measurements are estimated. multistemmed forming a rough whole, tree group of fair to good form, forms part of a old hedgerow bank of trees of landscape value			7.8	191.2
G2	Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore)	М	1	650	A2	14(4)	7	7	7	7	G/F	N/A	40+	low A category. Surrounding vegetation prevented close inspection of the tree therefore all observations and measurements are estimated. multistemmed forming a rough whole, tree group of fair to good form, forms part of a old hedgerow bank of trees of landscape value			7.8	191.2
G3	Quercus robur (Common Oak),Salix caprea (Goat Willow)	М	1	350	C2	9(2)	5	5	5	5	F	N/A	10+	Surrounding vegetation prevented close inspection of the tree therefore all observations and measurements are estimated.			4.2	55.42
G4	Betula pendula (Silver Birch),Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore),Sambucus nigra (Elder)	М	1	350	C2	8(2)	5	5	5	5	F	N/A	10+	Surrounding vegetation prevented close inspection of the tree therefore all observations and measurements are estimated.			4.2	55.42
H1	Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn),Ilex aquifolium (Holly)	EM	1	75	C2	3(0)	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	G/F	G/F	10+				0.9	2.55
H2	llex aquifolium (Holly)	EM	1	150	U	4(1)	2	2	2	2	Р	Р	<10	small section of declining hedgerow, appears to have had animal damage			1.8	10.18
НЗ	llex aquifolium (Holly),Cotoneaster frigidus (Cotoneaster)	EM	1	150	C2	4(1)	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	F	F	10+	section of hedgerow, appears to have had animal damage			1.8	10.18
H4	llex aquifolium (Holly),Betula pendula (Silver Birch)	EM	1	150	C2	4(1)	3	3	3	3	F	F	10+	section of elapsed managed hedgerow, appears to have had animal damage			1.8	10.18
H5	Ilex aquifolium (Holly)	EM	1	150	C2	4(1)	3	3	3	3	G/F	N/A	10+	Surrounding vegetation prevented close inspection of the tree therefore all observations and measurements are estimated.			1.8	10.18
Н6	Ilex aquifolium (Holly)	EM	1	150	C2	4(1)	3	3	3	3	G/F	N/A	10+	Surrounding vegetation prevented close inspection of the tree therefore all observations and measurements are estimated.			1.8	10.18
Н7	llex aquifolium (Holly),Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn)	EM	1	150	C2	4(1)	3	3	3	3	G/F	N/A	10+	Surrounding vegetation prevented close inspection of the tree therefore all observations and measurements are estimated.			1.8	10.18

Tree ID	Tree Species	Age	Stems	Stem Diam (mm)	Cat	Height + (Lower Branch Height)	Nrth	Est	Sth	Wst	Phys Cond	Struc Cond	Est. Remain Contrib	Comr	nents	Preliminary Management Recommendations	Work Priority	RPR (m)	RPA (m2)
Н8	Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn)	EM	1	150	C2	4(1)	3	3	3	3	G/F	N/A	10+	Surrounding vegetation prevented close inspection of the tree therefore all observations and measurements are estimated.				1.8	10.18
Н9	llex aquifolium (Holly)	EM	1	150	C2	3(1)	3	3	3	3	G/F	N/A	10+	Surrounding vegetation prevented close inspection of the tree therefore all observations and measurements are estimated.	section of elapsed managed hedgerow			1.8	10.18
H10	Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn)	EM	1	150	C2	3(1)	2	2	2	2	G/F	N/A	10+	Surrounding vegetation prevented close inspection of the tree therefore all observations and measurements are estimated.	section of elapsed managed hedgerow			1.8	10.18
H11	Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn)	EM	1	150	U	3(1)	2	2	2	2	F/P	F/P	10+	Surrounding vegetation prevented close inspection of the tree therefore all observations and measurements are estimated.	section of elapsed managed hedgerow, stem decay noted			1.8	10.18
H12	llex aquifolium (Holly),Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn),Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore)	М	1	200	C2	4(0)	3	3	3	3	F	N/A	10+	Surrounding vegetation prevented close inspection of the tree therefore all observations and measurements are estimated.	overgrown hedgerow			2.4	18.1
H13	ilex aquifolium (Holly),Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn),Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore),Quercus robur (Common Oak),Salix caprea (Goat Willow)	M	1	250	C2	5(0)	4	4	4	4	F	N/A	10+	Surrounding vegetation prevented close inspection of the tree therefore all observations and measurements are estimated.	overgrown hedgerow			3	28.28
H14	Quercus robur (Common Oak),llex aquifolium (Holly)	М	1	350	C2	5(2)	4	4	4	4	F	F	10+		small section of elapsed managed hedgerow			4.2	55.42
H15	Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn),llex aquifolium (Holly)	М	1	150	C2	4(1)	2	2	2	2	G/F	F	10+		overgrown hedgerow			1.8	10.18
H16	Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn),llex aquifolium (Holly)	М	1	150	C2	4(1)	2	2	2	2	G/F	F	10+		overgrown hedgerow			1.8	10.18
H17	ilex aquifolium (Holly),Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn),Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore),Quercus robur (Common Oak),Salix caprea (Goat Willow)	М	1	250	C2	5(0)	4	4	4	4	F	N/A	10+	Surrounding vegetation prevented close inspection of the tree therefore all observations and measurements are estimated.	overgrown hedgerow			3	28.28
T1	Quercus robur (Common Oak)	М	1	800	B3	9(2)	4	6	6	6	G/F	F	20+		small hedge bank oak, trunk damage with dysfunction and internal decay with surrounding callus growth noted, northern branches cut back from electrical lines			9.6	289.6

Tree ID	Tree Species	Age	Stems	Stem Diam (mm)	Cat	Height + (Lower Branch	Nrth	Est	Sth	Wst	Phys Cond	Struc Cond	Est. Remain Contrib	Comments	Preliminary Management Recommendations	Work Priority	RPR (m)	RPA (m2)
T2	Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore)	М	1	350	C2	Height) 5(2)	5	3	3	3	G/F	N/A	10+	Surrounding vegetation prevented close inspection of the tree therefore all observations and measurements are estimated.			4.2	55.42
Т3	Betula pendula (Silver Birch)	М	1	370	B2	10(2)	3	6	5	4	G/F	F	20+	low B category. tree of fair form, cut back slightly from electrical lines			4.44	61.94
T4	Quercus robur (Common Oak)	М	1	800	B2	10(2)	6	6	6	6	G/F	N/A	40+	Surrounding vegetation prevented close inspection of the tree therefore all observations and measurements are estimated.			9.6	289.6
T5	Quercus robur (Common Oak)	М	1	900	A2	10(2)	8	8	8	8	G/F	N/A	40+	Surrounding vegetation prevented close inspection of the tree therefore all observations and measurements are estimated.			10.8	366.5
Т6	Quercus robur (Common Oak)	SM	1	200	C2	7(2)	3	3	3	3	F	F	10+				2.4	18.1
T7	Quercus robur (Common Oak)	М	1	600	B2	12(2)	7	7	7	6	G/F	N/A	20+	Ivy on tree. Surrounding vegetation prevented close inspection of the tree therefore all observations and measurements are estimated.			7.2	162.9
T8	Betula pendula (Silver Birch)	EM	1	270	C2	8(1)	3	3	3	3	F	F	10+				3.24	32.98
Т9	Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore)	EM	1	250	C2	8(1)	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	F	F	10+				3	28.28
T10	Betula pendula (Silver Birch)	М	1	450	B2	13(3)	4	5	3	2	G/F	F	20+				5.4	91.62
T11	Betula pendula (Silver Birch)	М	1	450	C2	13(3)	6	5	1	2	G/F	F	10+	high C category. suppressed in form, some internal hollowing of trunk some surface roof damage noted			5.4	91.62
T12	Quercus robur (Common Oak)	М	1	580	B2	11(3)	4	6	5	4	G/F	F	20+	oak of fair form, some surface root damage noted			6.96	152.2
T13	llex aquifolium (Holly)	ОМ	1	350	C2	5(2)	4	4	4	4	F	F	10+	Sparse foliage cover.			4.2	55.42
T14	Quercus robur (Common Oak)	М	1	550	A2	13(4)	7	8	7	8	G/F	G/F	40+	field boundary oak of fair to good form, some surface root damage noted			6.6	136.9
T15	Quercus robur (Common Oak)	М	1	700	A2	13(4)	8	8	8	8	G/F	F	40+	field boundary oak of fair to good form, some surface root damage noted			8.4	221.7
T16	Quercus robur (Common Oak)	М	2	#####	A2	13(4)	9	10	9	9	G/F	G/F	40+	field boundary oak of fair to good form, twin stem			11.1	384.3

ree ID #	Tree Species	Age	Stems	Stem Diam (mm)	Cat	Height + (Lower Branch Height)	Nrth	Est	Sth	Wst		Struc Cond	Est. Remain Contrib	Comments	Preliminary Management Recommendations	Work Priority		
T17	Betula pendula (Silver Birch)	М	2	350	C2	8(3)	2	5	2	5	F/P	F/P		low C category. Sparse foliage cover. Suppressed growth from surrounding trees.			5.94	110.9

10.0 Appendix 1B – Detailed Tree Survey Data Summary

(Please see Appendix 3 - Tree Survey Key)

Field Usage Results.		
Total Records: 38		
		% of
Туре	Count	Total
Т	17	44.7
G	4	10.5
Н	17	44.7
		% of
Tree Species	Count	Total
Quercus robur (Common Oak)	9	23.7
Ilex aquifolium (Holly)	5	13.2
Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn)	3	7.9
Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore)	4	10.5
Betula pendula (Silver Birch)	5	13.2
		% of
Average Stem Diameter	Count	Total
<100	1	2.6
<250	14	36.8
<500	13	34.2
<750	6	15.8
<1000	4	10.5
		% of
Cat	Count	Total
A2	6	15.8
B2	5	13.2
B3	1	2.6
C2	24	63.2
U	2	5.3
		0/ 5
Ago	Count	% of
Age	Count	Total
SM	1 12	2.6
EM	13	34.2
M	23	60.5
OM	1	2.6
		0/ -£
Height	Count	% of Total
<5	14	36.8
7	14	30.6

<10	12	31.6
<15	12	31.6
		% of
Phy Cond	Count	Total
G/F	23	60.5
F	12	31.6
F/P	2	5.3
P	1	2.6
		% of
Stuc Cond	Count	Total
G/F	3	7.9
F	15	39.5
F/P	2	5.3
P	1	2.6
N/A	17	44.7
		% of
Est. Remain Contrib	Count	Total
<10	1	2.6
10+	25	65.8
20+	4	10.5
40+	8	21.1

10.0 Appendix 2 - Tree Constraints Plan

An introduction to the Tree Constraints Plan (TCP)

Trees identified to be retained should be treated as constraints to the design of future development. A Tree Constraints Plan has been drawn and can be found over leaf.

- Tree Quality The TCP highlights the above and below-ground constraints each tree poses to design future development schemes. Further, the BS5837 tree quality category (A High, B Moderate, C Low and U- Unsuitable for retention) are coloured coded as solid circles at the centre of the tree's position.
- Root Protection Area The magenta circle on the TCP sets out the root protection area (RPA). No construction work in this area, ground-level alteration or site traffic (machinery or persons) should occur. This prevents damage to tree roots and soil compaction. (Where possible, an Arboriculturist can design suitable tree protection methods to facilitate construction work/site traffic within these areas).
- Tree Canopy The green circle/oval on the TCP sets out the above-ground
 constraints of tree canopy spread. Within this area, no construction work or site
 traffic (machinery or persons) should occur if the tree is to be retained. This prevents
 damage to the tree branches and trunk. (Where possible, an Arboriculturist can
 design suitable tree protection methods to facilitate construction work/site traffic
 within these areas).
- Tree Shading Shade from the retained trees should be considered in the development design. Depending on the tree's height and width, the shade cast will be from a North West to East pattern through the central part of the day.
- Tree Future growth Within future development design, consideration should also be given to the ultimate height and extent of the canopy spread of all trees within site identified to be retained.





Tree Constraints Plan

- Crymlyn Parc, Skewe ect Ref - 1945.1

Scale 1:750 @ A2

KEY BS 5837:2012 Tree Quality (Colour Code (Individual Tree)

Category A (High)
("Highly desirable for retention")
Category B (Moderate)
("Desirable for retention")

(*Desirable for retention*)

Category C (Low)
(*Optional for retention*)

Category U (Poor)
(*Unsuitable for retention*)

Rey - Individual Trees
Branch Spread (Measured on the North Last, Scoth and West side of Irea)
Beech (Camero Tree Name Scoth)
Tree (D# (T- Individual Tree)

Root Protection Area (RPA) (A layout design tool Indicating the minimum area around a tree deemed to contain sufficient roots and rooting volume to maintain the tree's viability, and where the protection of the roots and soil structure is treated as a priority).

Tree Key - Group/Area/Woodland/Hedgerow

e Key - Group/Area/Woodland/Hedgerow
Tree ID# (G-Tree Group,
A-Tree Area, W-Woodland,
H-Hedgerow)
Tree Species
(Common Tree Name
Shown)

Shade Pattern - shade pattern of shown on plan (garden on the East)

Definitions of 858837.2012 Categories for Press, Woodlands and Hedgerows (Colour Press, Woodlands and Hedgerows (Colour Press, Woodlands and Hedgerows)

A - Those of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years (*Highly desirable for retention*)

B - Those of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least

20 years. (*Desirable for retention*)

20 years. (*Desirable for retention*)

C - Those of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below

150mm.
(*Optional for retention*)

U- Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than the context of the current land use for longer than the context of the current land use for longer than the context of the current land use for longer than the context of the current land use for longer than the context of the current land use for longer than the current land

realistically be retained as living dress at riving a research to the current land use for longer than 10 years. ("Unsuitable for retention unless provides hig conservation value")

Please Note:

e designed by an arboriculturist, stalled before materials or nachinery is bought onto site and efore any demolition, development r stripping of soil commences. Once rected, barriers and ground rotection should be regarded as acrosanct, and should not be emoved or altered without prior ecommendation by an ryboriculturist and approval of the pocal Planning Authority (LPA).

10.0 Appendix 3 - Tree Survey Data Key

- Tree ID # Identifies the location of individual trees (T-ID Number), Groups of trees (G-ID Number), Area of trees (A-ID Number), Hedgerow (H-ID Number), Woodland (W-ID Number), Row of trees (R-ID Number) and tree Stumps (S-ID Number) on the accompanying plan. (Please note: A group of trees here refers to two or more standing trees that form a visual whole, whereas an area of trees refers to dispersed individual trees standing within the site)
- **Tree Species** Scientific names and common tree name in brackets are generally shown.
- Age
 - o (Y) Young Less than 1/3 of life completed
 - o (SM) Middle Aged 1/3 2/3 of life completed
 - o (EM) Early Mature Just entering Maturity
 - o (M) Mature more than 2/3 of life completed
 - o (OM) Over Mature more than 3/3 of life completed and declining
 - (V) Veteran (v) Veteran Veteran trees have no precise definition but are trees considered to be of biological aesthetic or ecological value because of their age
- Stems Number of tree stems used to calculate the RPR/RPA
- Stem Diam (mm) Diameter of tree stem measured in millimetres for single stem trees or average stem diameter calculated for multi-stemmed trees as detailed in section 4.6 & Annex C of the British Standard 5837:2012, Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction Recommendations. The height above ground level where the stem measurement was taken will be shown if not measured at 1.5 metres above ground level. (Please note: that the stem diameter of certain trees will have to be estimated due to difficulties in taking measurements or for trees with a large number of stems)
- Cat Tree Quality Category British Standard 5837:2012 A, B, C, U + 1, 2, 3

Based on BS5837:2012, categories A, B, C, and U provide the basis for prioritising trees for retention:

- o A Those of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years. (*Most desirable for retention*)
- o B Those of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years. (*Desirable for retention*)
- o C Those of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm. (*Optional for retention*)
- o U Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years. (*Unsuitable for retention unless provides high conservation value*)

Retention Criteria Subcategories: Used for identifying subcategories

E.g. A2 = A high-quality tree with high landscape qualities (further details can be found in British Standard 5837:2012, Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - Recommendations UK; British Standards Intuition)

- o 1 Mainly Arboricultural qualities
- o 2 Mainly landscape qualities
- o 3 Mainly cultural values, including conservation
- Height + (Lower Branch Height) Tree height in metres and in brackets height in metres of the crown (tree branches) clearance at its lowest point above adjacent ground levels.
- Nrth, Est, Sth, Wst Crown Spread (Metres) -Tree branch spread in metres measured in four directions (North, East, South, West) from the trunk.
- Phys Cond Physiological Condition Indicating the health of the tree
 - o (G) Good
 - o (F) Fair
 - o (P) Poor
 - o (D) Dead
- Struc Cond Structural Condition indicating the structural integrity of the tree
 - o (G) Good No, or remediable physical defects or decay
 - o (F) Fair Physical non-remediable defects or decay present, not presenting imminent danger but should be monitored
 - o (P) Poor physical non-remediable defects or decay present, tree liable to imminent collapse or loss of major limbs.
 - o (D) Dead
- Est. Remain Contrib (<10, 10+, 20+, 40+)

The trees estimated remaining contribution in years, recorded as:

- o <10 less than 10 years
- o 10+ at least 10 years
- o 20+ at least 20 years
- o 40+ at least 40 years
- **Comments** Additional Comments, if required
- **Preliminary Management Recommendations** Work Recommendations, including further investigation of suspected defects that require more detailed assessment and pose potential for wildlife habitat.

- **Work Priority** Work Priority This gives a work priority rating of preliminary management for each tree.
 - o H High Urgent work to be carried out as soon as practicable due to safety reasons (Within 14 days).
 - o H/M High Medium Work to be carried out within 6 months/or before the construction phase begins
 - o M Medium Work to be carried out in 12 months
 - o L Low After consideration/Re-inspect in 18-24 months
 - o Blank No work required.
- RPR Root protection radius / RPA Root Protection Area Is a layout design tool
 indicating the minimum area around a tree deemed to contain sufficient roots and
 rooting volume to maintain the tree's viability and where the protection of the roots
 and soil structure is treated as a priority. RPR is a circular area measured as a radius
 in metres from the tree's centre, or RPA is an area in metres squared. This area may
 be changed in shape but not reduced in size, providing adequate protection for the
 tree's rooting system.

10.0 Appendix 4 – Tree Protection Plan



10.0 Appendix 5 – Tree Photographs

Tree ID#H1 Tree ID#T1





Tree ID#T1 Tree ID#H2 + H3





Tree ID#H4



Tree ID#T2



Tree ID#T3



Tree ID#T5 + T4



Tree ID#H13







Tree ID#T7

Tree ID#T8 + T9





Tree ID#H3



Tree ID#T10



Tree ID#T11



Tree ID#T13



Tree ID#T17



Tree ID#T16



Tree ID#G4



Tree ID#G3



